arXiv:0805.4185v1 [math.RA] 27 May 2008

FREE FIELDS IN SKEW FIELDS

VITOR O. FERREIRA AND ÉRICA Z. FORNAROLI

Abstract. Building on the work of K. Chiba (*J. Algebra* **263** (2003), 75–87), we present sufficient conditions for the completion of a division ring with respect to the metric defined by a discrete valuation function to contain a free field, *i.e.* the universal field of fractions of a free associative algebra. Several applications to division rings generated by torsion-free nilpotent groups, skew Laurent series and related division rings are discussed.

Introduction

In this paper we present a slight improvement on a theorem of K. Chiba [1] on the existence of free fields in division rings which are complete with respect to a valuation function. We then move on to discuss large classes of examples of division rings that do and do not contain free fields.

In what follows the terms "division ring" and "skew field" will be used interchangeably. Occasionally, we shall omit the adjective "skew". A skew field which is commutative will always be called a "commutative field".

Let us recall from [4, Chapter 7] that given a ring R, by an *R-ring* one understands a ring L with a homomorphism $R \to L$. For fixed R, the R-rings form a category in which the maps are the ring-homomorphisms $L \to L'$ such that the triangle below is commutative.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & R \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr] & \\
L \arrow[rr] & & L'
\end{tikzcd}$$

An R-ring which is a skew field is called an *R-field*. An R-field K is called an *epic* R-field if K is generated as field by the image of R. If K is an epic R-field for which the map $R \to K$ is injective, K is called a *field of fractions* of R.

By a *local homomorphism* between R-fields K, L one understands an R-ring homomorphism $\alpha\colon K_0 \to L$ from a subring K_0 of K containing the image of R into L such that $K_0 \setminus \ker\alpha \subseteq U(K_0)$, where $U(K_0)$ denotes group of units of K_0. A *specialization* from K to L is an equivalence class of local homomorphisms from K into L, where two local homomorphisms α and β with domains K_0 and K_1, respectively, are equivalent if there exists a subring K_2 containing the image of R

Date: 27 May 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16K40, 16W60, 16S10.

Key words and phrases. Division rings, free fields, valuations.

The first author is the corresponding author and was partially supported by CNPq, Brazil (Grant 302211/2004-7).

The second author was supported by CNPq, Brazil (Grant 141505/2005-2).

such that $K_2 \subseteq K_0 \cap K_1$, $\alpha(x) = \beta(x)$, for all $x \in K_2$, and $\alpha|_{K_2} = \beta|_{K_2} \colon K_2 \to L$ is a local homomorphism.

A *universal* R-field is an epic R-field U such that for any epic R-field K there exists a unique specialization from U to K. Such a universal R-field, if it exists, is unique up to isomorphism. If R has a universal R-field U then R has a field of fractions if and only if U is its field of fractions. In that case U is called the *universal field of fractions* of R.

Given a skew field D, a subfield K of D and a set X, we define the *free D_K-ring* on X to be the ring $D_K\langle X\rangle$ generated by X over D satisfying the relations $ax = xa$ for all $a \in K$ and $x \in X$. It is well known that $D_K\langle X\rangle$ is a fir and, thus, has a universal field of fractions $D_K(\langle X\rangle)$, called the *free D_K-field* on X. (We refer to [4] for the theory of firs and their universal fields of fractions.) The free D_D-ring on X will be called the free D-ring on X and will be denoted by $D\langle X\rangle$. Its universal field of fractions, the free D-field, will be denoted by $D(\langle X\rangle)$. (When D is commutative, the free D-ring on X is just the free D-algebra on X.) When we refer to a free field, we shall mean a D_K-free field on some nonempty set X for some skew field D and subfield K.

We shall be looking at valuation functions on skew fields. We follow the notation and definitions of [1]. Let R be a ring and let G be a (not necessarily abelian) ordered group with operation denoted additively. By a *valuation* on R with values in G one understands a map $\nu\colon R \to G \cup \{\infty\}$ satisfying

(i) $\nu(xy) = \nu(x) + \nu(y)$, for all $x, y \in R$,
(ii) $\nu(x + y) \geq \min\{\nu(x),\ \nu(y)\}$, for all $x, y \in R$,
(iii) $\nu(1) = 0$ and $\nu(0) = \infty$,

where it is understood that $a < \infty$ and $a + \infty = \infty + a = \infty + \infty = \infty$, for all $a \in G$. A valuation ν on R will be called *proper* if the ideal $\nu^{-1}(\infty)$ is trivial; for example, on a field every valuation is proper. All valuations considered in this work will be proper.

Let D be a skew field with a valuation $\nu\colon D \to G \cup \{\infty\}$ and let $D^\times$ denote the set of all nonzero elements of D. Then $\nu(D^\times)$ is a subgroup of G called the *value group* of ν. If the ordered group $\nu(D^\times)$ is isomorphic to the additive group of integers, then ν is called *discrete*. When $\nu(D^\times)$ is a ordered subgroup of the additive group of real numbers, for instance, when ν is discrete, we can define a metric d on D by choosing a real constant $c \in (0, 1)$ and letting

$$d(x, y) = c^{\nu(x-y)}, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in D.$$

The topology so defined on D is independent of the choice of the constant c and the completion $\widehat{D}$ of the metric space D is again a skew field with a valuation $\hat{\nu}$ such that $\widehat{D}$ and $\hat{\nu}$ are extensions of D and ν, respectively. We shall refer to $\widehat{D}$ as the completion of D with respect to the metric induced by ν or simply as the completion of D with respect to the valuation ν.

An example is the following. Let R be a ring with a central regular element t such that $\bigcap t^n R = 0$ and R/tR is a domain. If we define, for each $x \in R$, $\nu(x) = \sup\{n : x \in t^n R\}$ then ν is a valuation on R, called the *t-adic valuation*. In particular, if R is a domain and if $R[z]$ is the polynomial ring over R on the indeterminate z, $R[z]$ has a z-adic valuation. If, moreover, R is a division ring, then $R[z]$ is an Ore domain with field of fractions $R(z)$, the field of rational functions on z. The z-adic valuation on $R[z]$ extends to a discrete valuation ν on $R(z)$ and the completion of $R(z)$ with respect to ν is just the field of Laurent series $R((z))$. We shall consider more general situations of this instance.

Section 1 of the present article is devoted to showing that Chiba's result on the existence of free fields in completions of valued division rings which are infinite dimensional over their centres holds for arbitrary division rings with infinite centres. Subsequently, we propose a method for guaranteeing the existence of free fields in division rings which have a specialization into division rings with free fields.

Section 2 elaborates on a commentary of A. Lichtman on division rings which do not contain free fields, although their are known to contain free algebras.

The main results of the paper are in the last three sections. Section 3 treats the case of division rings of skew Malcev-Neumman series defined on a torsion-free nilpotent group G over a division ring K. They are shown to contain the completion of the division ring of fractions of the group ring of G over K with respect to a very natural valuation. Free fields are shown to exist in both large division rings.

In Section 4 we look into division rings of skew Laurent series over a division ring. Being complete with respect to natural valuations (either "t-adic" or "at infinity", depending on the presence of derivations), they are subjected to the criteria of Section 1. Special instances considered are appropriate completions of the Weyl field and of the division ring generated by 2×2 quantum matrices.

Finally, in Section 5, more general t-adic valuations are considered. In particular, we obtain a completion of the field of fractions of the universal enveloping algebra of an arbitrary Lie algebra in characteristic zero containing a free field.

1. Free fields in valued division rings

The proof of the following result can be extracted from the proof of [1, Theorem 1].

Theorem 1.1. *Let D be a skew field with infinite centre and let K be a subfield of D which is its own bicentralizer and whose centralizer K' in D is such that the left K-space KcK' is infinite-dimensional, for all $c \in D^{\times}$. Suppose that ν is a discrete valuation on D such that there exists a nonzero element t of K' with $\nu(t) > 0$. Then for every countable set Σ of full matrices over the free D_K-ring $D_K\langle X\rangle$ there exists a Σ-inverting homomorphism from $D_K\langle X\rangle$ into the completion $\widehat{D}$ of D with respect to the valuation ν.* $\square$

(In particular, it follows that when D and X are countable and Σ is the set of all full matrices over $D_K\langle X\rangle$ the completion $\widehat{D}$ contains the free field $D_K(\langle X\rangle)$. That is the statement of [1, Theorem 1(1)].)

The following consequence of Theorem 1.1 should be compared with [1, Corollary 1(1)].

Theorem 1.2. *Let D be a skew field with infinite centre C such that the dimension of D over C is infinite. If there exists a discrete valuation ν on D then the completion $\widehat{D}$ of D with respect to the metric induced by ν contains a free field $C(\langle X\rangle)$ on a countable set X.*

Proof. Let X be a countable set and let F be a countable subfield of C. Let Σ denote the set of all full matrices over $F\langle X\rangle$. Since both F and X are countable, Σ is countable. Moreover, Σ is a set of full matrices over $D_C\langle X\rangle$ because the natural inclusion $F\langle X\rangle \hookrightarrow D_C\langle X\rangle$ is an honest map, by [5, Theorem 6.4.6]. It follows from

Theorem 1.1 that there exists a Σ-inverting homomorphism $D_C\langle X\rangle \to \widehat{D}$ and, therefore, the composed map

$$F\langle X\rangle \hookrightarrow D_C\langle X\rangle \longrightarrow \widehat{D}$$

is a Σ-inverting F-ring homomorphism which extends to an F-ring homomorphism $F(\langle X\rangle) \to \widehat{D}$. Thus X freely generates a free subfield of $\widehat{D}$ over F. Since F is a central subfield of D, X freely generates a free subfield of $\widehat{D}$ over the prime field of D and, *a fortiori*, over C, by [1, Lemma 9]. □

This provides a more direct proof the following version of [1, Corollary 1(2)].

Corollary 1.3. *Let D be a skew field with centre C such that the dimension of D over C is infinite. Then the skew field of Laurent series $D((z))$ in z over D contains a free field $C(\langle X\rangle)$ on a countable set X.*

Proof. Let ω denote the valuation on $D(z)$ which extends the z-adic valuation on $D[z]$. Since there is a natural embedding $D\otimes_C C(z) \hookrightarrow D(z)$, it follows that $[D(z) : C(z)] \geq [D\otimes_C C(z) : C(z)] = [D : C]$ and, hence, $D(z)$ is infinite dimensional over $C(z)$, which is its (infinite) centre, by [5, Proposition 2.1.5]. So Theorem 1.2 applies and, therefore, $D((z))$ contains a free field $C(z)(\langle X\rangle)$ on a countable set X. Finally, by [5, Proposition 5.4.4], $D((z))$ contains a free field $C(\langle X\rangle)$. □

We finish this section by remarking that free fields can be "pulled back" through specializations.

Theorem 1.4. *Let D be a skew field with a subfield K and let E be a D-field such that E contains a free field $D_K(\langle X\rangle)$. Let F be a D-field and suppose that there exists a specialization from F to E satisfying the following condition on a local homomorphism α representing it,*

$$\alpha^{-1}(x) \cap C_F(K) \neq \emptyset, \text{ for every } x \in X,$$

where $C_F(K)$ denotes the centralizer of K in F. Then F contains a free field $D_K(\langle X\rangle)$.

The theorem above will be obtained as a consequence of the following more general result.

Proposition 1.5. *Let R be a ring with a universal field of fractions U. Let F and E be R-fields and suppose that U is an R-subfield of E. If there exists a specialization from F to E then U is isomorphic to an R-subfield of F.*

Proof. The canonical maps $R \to F$ and $R \to E$ are injective, because E contains U, a field of fractions of R, and there exists an R-homomorphism $\alpha\colon F_0 \to E$, where F_0 is an R-subring of F. In fact, there exists such an α with the property that any element not in $\ker\alpha$ is invertible in F_0. Now, $\operatorname{im}\alpha \cong F_0/\ker\alpha$ is an R-subfield of E and, since U is generated as a field by R, we have $U \subseteq \operatorname{im}\alpha$. Let L be the subfield of F generated by R and set $L_0 = L\cap F_0$. Clearly, $\alpha(L_0) \subseteq U$. So we have an R-map $\beta\colon L_0 \to U$ given by the restriction of α to L_0.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_0 \arrow[rr, "\alpha"] & & \operatorname{im}\alpha \arrow[r, hook] & E \\
L_0 \arrow[u, hook] \arrow[rr, "\beta"] & & U \arrow[u, hook] & \\
& R \arrow[ul, hook] \arrow[ur, hook] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

By definition of β, any element of L_0 not in the kernel of β is invertible in L_0. Therefore, there exists a specialization from the R-field L to U. Since U is a universal R-field, there exists a specialization from U to L and, hence, $U \cong L \subseteq F$, by [5, Theorem 7.2.4]. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let F_0 denote the domain of α. For each $x \in X$ fix $y_x \in \alpha^{-1}(x) \cap C_F(K)$. Let $\varphi\colon D_K\langle X\rangle \to F$ be the unique D-ring homomorphism such that $\varphi(x) = y_x$. Then F is a $D_K\langle X\rangle$-field. Since $D_K(\langle X\rangle)$ is the universal field of fractions of $D_K\langle X\rangle$, it follows from the previous proposition that $F \supseteq D_K(\langle X\rangle)$. $\square$

2. Some skew fields that do not contain free fields

In this section we shall present a theorem giving a necessary condition for a division ring to contain a free field. This will then be used in order to produce examples of division rings which, although containing free algebras, do not contain free fields.

Throughout this section, k will denote a commutative field.

Let A be a k-algebra. Denote by A^{op} the opposite algebra of A. Regard the (A, A)-bimodule A as a left module over the enveloping algebra $A \otimes_k A^{\mathrm{op}}$ in the usual way, that is, via $a \otimes b \cdot x = axb$, for all $a, b, x \in A$. We recall that the multiplication map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mu\colon A \otimes_k A^{\mathrm{op}} & \longrightarrow & A \\ a \otimes b & \longmapsto & ab \end{array}$$

is a surjective homomorphism of left $A \otimes_k A^{\mathrm{op}}$-modules and that $\ker \mu$ is generated as a left A-module by the set $\{a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a : a \in A\}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let D be a skew field which is a k-algebra. If $D \otimes_k D^{op}$ is a left noetherian algebra, then D does not contain an infinite strictly ascending chain of subfields $D_1 \subsetneqq D_2 \subsetneqq D_3 \subsetneqq \dots$ which are k-subalgebras.*

Proof. Suppose that D contains an infinite strictly ascending chain of subfields

$$D_1 \subsetneqq D_2 \subsetneqq D_3 \subsetneqq \dots$$

which are k-subalgebras. We start by fixing some notation. Let $R = D \otimes_k D^{\mathrm{op}}$; for each $n \geq 1$, let $R_n = D_n \otimes_k D_n^{\mathrm{op}}$, let $\mu_n\colon R_n \to D_n$ denote the multiplication map in D_n and let $I_n = \ker \mu_n$. It is clear that R contains the following chain of left ideals,

$$RI_1 \subseteq RI_2 \subseteq RI_3 \subseteq \dots$$

We shall show that for every $n \geq 1$, we have $RI_n \neq RI_{n+1}$. For the sake of simplicity, we shall show that $RI_1 \neq RI_2$.

Since $I_1 \subseteq I_2$ and I_2 is a left ideal of R_2, we have $R_2 I_1 \subseteq I_2$. We start by showing that $R_2 I_1 \neq I_2$. The ring $S = D_2 \otimes_{D_1} D_2^{\mathrm{op}}$ has a left R_2-module structure such that $(a \otimes_k b) \cdot (x \otimes_{D_1} y) = ax \otimes_{D_1} yb$, for all $a, b, x, y \in D_2$. Consider the homomorphism of left R_2-modules $\varphi \colon R_2 \to S$ such that $\varphi(a \otimes_k b) = a \otimes_{D_1} b$, for all $a, b \in D_2$. Given $z \in D_1$, we have $\varphi(1 \otimes_k z - z \otimes_k 1) = 1 \otimes_{D_1} z - z \otimes_{D_1} 1 = 0$. It follows that $R_2 I_1 \subseteq \ker \varphi$, for the set $\{1 \otimes_k z - z \otimes_k 1 : z \in D_1\}$ generates I_1 as a left R_1-module and, hence, is a generating set for the left ideal $R_2 I_1$ of R_2. Suppose that $R_2 I_1 = I_2$ and take $x \in D_2 \setminus D_1$. Then $1 \otimes_k x - x \otimes_k 1 \in I_2 = R_2 I_1 \subseteq \ker \varphi$. This implies

$$1 \otimes_{D_1} x = \varphi(1 \otimes_k x) = \varphi(x \otimes_k 1) = x \otimes_{D_1} 1,$$

which is a contradiction with the choice of x. So $R_2 I_1 \neq I_2$.

To prove that $RI_1 \subsetneqq RI_2$, note that since D_2 is a free D_1-module, R_2 is a free right R_1-module and, thus, it is a faithfully flat right R_1-module. Similarly, R is a faithfully flat right R_2-module. We then have

$$RI_1 = R \otimes_{R_2} (R_2 \otimes_{R_1} I_1) = R \otimes_{R_2} R_2 I_1 \subsetneqq R \otimes_{R_2} I_2 = RI_2,$$

which is what we had to prove. □

As a consequence we obtain the following necessary condition for a skew field to contain a free field.

Theorem 2.2. *Let D be a skew field and let k be a central subfield of D. If D contains a free field $k(\langle x, y\rangle)$ then $D \otimes_k D^{\mathrm{op}}$ cannot be a left noetherian ring.*

Proof. If D contains the free field $k(\langle x, y\rangle)$ then, by [5, Corollary 5.5.9], D contains a free field with a countable number of generators, say, $k(\langle x_1, x_2, \ldots\rangle) \subseteq D$. For every $n \geq 1$, letting $D_n = k(\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n\rangle)$, we obtain an infinite strictly ascending chain of subfields in D, $D_1 \subsetneqq D_2 \subsetneqq \ldots$. By Lemma 2.1, $D \otimes_k D^{\mathrm{op}}$ is not a left noetherian ring. □

In [13], Makar-Limanov showed that the field of fractions of the first Weyl algebra over a commutative field k of characteristic zero contains a noncommutative free algebra over k and, consequently, it contains a field of fractions of the free algebra. However, this field of fractions is not a free field, as the following consequence of Theorem 2.2 shows.

Corollary 2.3. *The field of fractions of the first Weyl algebra over a commutative field does not contain a noncommutative free field (over any subfield).*

Proof. Let $A = k\langle x, y : xy - yx = 1\rangle$ be the first Weyl algebra over a field k of characteristic zero, let D be its left classic field of fractions and let $S = A \otimes_k D^{\mathrm{op}}$. Then S is a Weyl algebra over the skew field D^{op}, that is, $S \cong D^{\mathrm{op}}\langle x, y : xy - yx = 1\rangle$. Since A is a left Ore domain, $T = (A \setminus \{0\}) \otimes_k 1$ is a left denominator subset of S. Since S is left noetherian, because it is a Weyl algebra over a skew field, $T^{-1}S \cong D \otimes_k D^{\mathrm{op}}$ is also left noetherian. By Theorem 2.2, D does not contain a free field over k. Therefore, D does not contain a free field over any central subfield, by [1, Lemma 9]. Consequently, D does not contain a free field over any subfield, by [3, Corollary pg. 114] and [5, Theorem 5.8.12]. □

Now consider a nonabelian torsion-free polycyclic-by-finite group G. We recall that the group ring KG is a noetherian domain for every skew field K (cf. [15, Proposition 1.6 and Corollary 37.11]). Let k be a commutative field and consider the group algebra kG. Let F denote the left classic field of fractions of kG and consider the k-algebra $R = kG \otimes_k F^{\mathrm{op}} \cong F^{\mathrm{op}}G$. Proceeding in similar manner as we did above it is possible to show that $F \otimes_k F^{\mathrm{op}}$ is a left noetherian ring. By Theorem 2.2 we have the following result.

Corollary 2.4. *The field of fractions of the group algebra of a nonabelian torsion-free polycyclic-by-finite group over a commutative field does not contain a noncommutative free field (over any subfield).* □

Let H be a nonabelian torsion-free finitely generated nilpotent group and consider the group algebra kH. Since H is polycyclic-by-finite then the group algebra kH is a noetherian domain. We remark that, again, Makar-Limanov proved in [14] that the field of fractions Q of kH contains a noncommutative free algebra over k. By what we have just seen, the subfield of Q generated by this free algebra is not a free field.

3. Free fields in Malcev-Neumann series ring

In this section we shall see that a ring of Malcev-Neumann series of a torsion-free nilpotent group over a division ring contains a free field.

Let G be a finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent group. We follow [6] and recall some facts about G. First, G has at least one central series

$$G = F_1 \supseteq F_2 \supseteq F_3 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq F_r \supseteq F_{r+1} = \{1\} \tag{1}$$

such that for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$, F_i/F_{i+1} is an infinite cyclic group. (Such a series can be obtained as a refinement of the upper central series of G, for instance.) A central series of G whose factors are infinite cyclic will be called an *$\mathcal{F}$-series.* Let f_i be a representative in G of a generating element of F_i/F_{i+1}. It follows that every element of G can be written uniquely in the form

$$f_1^{\alpha_1} f_2^{\alpha_2} \ldots f_r^{\alpha_r}, \tag{2}$$

with $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r \in \mathbf{Z}$. Given subgroups X, Y of G the subgroup generated by all commutators (x, y) with $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ will be denoted by (X, Y). So, since (1) is a central series for G, we have $(F_i, G) \subseteq F_{i+1}$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$, and, hence $(F_j, F_i) \subseteq F_{j+1}$, whenever $j \geq i$. Because F_{j+1} is a finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent group with $\mathcal{F}$-series $F_{j+1} \supseteq F_{j+2} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq F_r \supseteq F_{r+1} = \{1\}$, given $\alpha_i, \alpha_j \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have

$$f_j^{\alpha_j} f_i^{\alpha_i} = f_i^{\alpha_i} f_j^{\alpha_j} f_{j+1}^{\gamma_{j+1}} f_{j+2}^{\gamma_{j+2}} \cdots f_r^{\gamma_r},$$

for some $\gamma_{j+1}, \ldots, \gamma_r \in \mathbf{Z}$. In particular, $F_r = \langle f_r \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(G)$, the centre of G. Finally, G is an ordered group with the lexicographic ordering, that is,

$$f_1^{\alpha_1} f_2^{\alpha_2} \ldots f_r^{\alpha_r} < f_1^{\beta_1} f_2^{\beta_2} \ldots f_r^{\beta_r}$$

if and only if there exists some s for which

$$\alpha_s < \beta_s, \text{ while } \alpha_i = \beta_i, \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, s-1.$$

Assume that G is nonabelian, that the elements $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ in (2) have been chosen and that the order in G is defined as above. Let K be a skew field and consider the Malcev-Neumann skew series field $K((G, \sigma))$, where $\sigma \colon G \to \mathrm{Aut}(K)$ is a group homomorphism of G into the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(K)$ of K. Recall that $K((G, \sigma))$ is constituted by those formal series $f = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g$, with $a_g \in K$, whose support $\mathrm{supp}(f) = \{g \in G : a_g \neq 0\}$ is a well-ordered subset of G. The product in $K((G,\sigma))$ is induced by the relations $ga = \sigma(g)(a)g$, for all $g \in G$ and $a \in K$. When σ is the trivial homomorphism, sending every element of G to the identity map of K, we shall simplify the notation and write $K((G))$ for the corresponding Malcev-Neumann skew field. The subring of $K((G,\sigma))$ of elements of finite support is the usual skew group ring of G over K, henceforth denoted by $K(G,\sigma)$. When σ is trivial this subring is the usual group ring and is denoted by KG. By [15, Corollary 37.11], $K(G,\sigma)$ is an Ore domain with field of fractions $Q(K(G,\sigma))$; so we have $K(G,\sigma) \subseteq Q(K(G,\sigma)) \subseteq K((G,\sigma))$.

We shall show that there exists a natural discrete valuation on $K(G,\sigma)$ which extends to $K((G,\sigma))$ turning this Malcev-Neumman skew field into a complete field. This will eventually yield an embedding of a free field into it.

Since any element of G can be written uniquely in the form (2), an element x of $K(G,\sigma)$ can be written uniquely as a finite sum

$$x = \sum_I a_I f_1^{\alpha_1} f_2^{\alpha_2} \dots f_r^{\alpha_r},$$

where $I = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r) \in \mathbf{Z}^r$ and $a_I \in K$.

Lemma 3.1. *The map* $o\colon K(G,\sigma) \to \mathbf{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$*, given by*

$$o(x) = \min\{\alpha_1 : f_1^{\alpha_1} f_2^{\alpha_2} \dots f_r^{\alpha_r} \in \operatorname{supp}(x) \textit{ for some } \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbf{Z}\},$$

if $x \neq 0$ *and* $o(0) = \infty$*, is a valuation function on* $K(G,\sigma)$*.*

Proof. Take nonzero elements $x, y \in K(G,\sigma)$ with $o(x) = \alpha$ and $o(y) = \beta$, say $\min \operatorname{supp}(x) = f_1^{\alpha} f_2^{\alpha_2} \dots f_r^{\alpha_r}$ and $\min \operatorname{supp}(y) = f_1^{\beta} f_2^{\beta_2} \dots f_r^{\beta_r}$, and suppose that the coefficients of these elements of G occurring in x and y are a and b, respectively. Then the element

$$g = (f_1^{\alpha} f_2^{\alpha_2} \dots f_r^{\alpha_r})(f_1^{\beta} f_2^{\beta_2} \dots f_r^{\beta_r}) = f_1^{\alpha+\beta} f_2^{\alpha_2+\beta_2} f_3^{\gamma_3} \dots f_r^{\gamma_r},$$

for appropriate $\gamma_3, \dots, \gamma_r \in \mathbf{Z}$, occurs in xy with coefficient $a\sigma(f_1^{\alpha} f_2^{\alpha_2} \dots f_r^{\alpha_r})(b) \neq 0$. So g is the least element in $\operatorname{supp}(xy)$ and, hence, $o(xy) = \alpha + \beta$. The other conditions required for o to be a valuation are clearly satisfied. □

Denote by $\nu\colon Q(K(G,\sigma)) \to \mathbf{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ the unique extension of o to a valuation on $Q(K(G,\sigma))$.

Given a nonzero $x = \sum_I a_I f_1^{\alpha_1} f_2^{\alpha_2} \dots f_r^{\alpha_r} \in K((G,\sigma))$, since $\operatorname{supp}(x)$ is well-ordered, the integer $\min\{\alpha_1 : f_1^{\alpha_1} f_2^{\alpha_2} \dots f_r^{\alpha_r} \in \operatorname{supp}(x)$ for some $\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ is well defined.

Lemma 3.2. *The map* $\hat{\nu}\colon K((G,\sigma)) \to \mathbf{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$*, defined by*

$$\hat{\nu}(x) = \min\{\alpha_1 : f_1^{\alpha_1} f_2^{\alpha_2} \dots f_r^{\alpha_r} \in \operatorname{supp}(x) \textit{ for some } \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbf{Z}\},$$

if $x \neq 0$ *and* $\hat{\nu}(0) = \infty$*, is a valuation function on* $K((G,\sigma))$ *which extends the valuation* ν *on* $Q(K(G,\sigma))$*.*

Proof. The same argument in the proof of Lemma 3.1 shows that $\hat{\nu}$ is a valuation function. By definition, $\hat{\nu}|_{K(G,\sigma)} = o$, so $\hat{\nu}|_{Q(K(G,\sigma))} = \nu$. □

The next step is to show that $K((G,\sigma))$ is complete with respect to this valuation.

Lemma 3.3. *The skew field of Malcev-Neumann series* $K((G,\sigma))$ *is complete with respect to the valuation* $\hat{\nu}$*.*

Proof. Take a real constant $c \in (0,1)$ and consider the metric d in $K((G,\sigma))$ induced by $\hat{\nu}$, that is, we set $d(x,y) = c^{\hat{\nu}(x-y)}$, for $x, y \in K((G,\sigma))$. Let $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $K((G,\sigma))$, say

$$u_n = \sum_{I=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r)} a_I^{(n)} f_1^{\alpha_1} f_2^{\alpha_2} \ldots f_r^{\alpha_r},$$

where $a_I^{(n)} \in K$. For each $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, choose $n_k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $d(u_p, u_q) < c^k$, whenever $p, q \geq n_k$, satisfying $n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_k < \ldots$ and consider the subsequence $(u_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$ of (u_n). Clearly, for every $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, we have $d(u_p, u_q) < c^k$ if and only if $a_I^{(p)} = a_I^{(q)}$, for all $I = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r)$ with $\alpha_1 \leq k$. So, if $l \geq k \geq 0$, then

$$a_I^{(n_k)} = a_I^{(n_0)}, \text{ for all } I = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r) \text{ with } \alpha_1 \leq 0 \tag{3}$$

and

$$a_I^{(n_l)} = a_I^{(n_k)}, \text{ for all } I = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r) \text{ with } \alpha_1 \leq k. \tag{4}$$

We shall show that (u_{n_k}) is convergent in $K((G,\sigma))$. Consider the element

$$u = \sum_{\substack{I=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r)\\ \alpha_1<0}} a_I^{(n_0)} f_1^{\alpha_1} f_2^{\alpha_2} \ldots f_r^{\alpha_r} + \sum_{\substack{I=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r)\\ \alpha_1\geq 0}} a_I^{(n_{\alpha_1})} f_1^{\alpha_1} f_2^{\alpha_2} \ldots f_r^{\alpha_r}. \tag{5}$$

Let us prove that $u \in K((G,\sigma))$, that is, that $\operatorname{supp}(u)$ is well-ordered. Let v denote the first part of the sum in u (that is, the sum of all the terms with $\alpha_1 < 0$ in (5)) and w, the second. Because it is a subset of $\operatorname{supp}(u_{n_0})$, $\operatorname{supp}(v)$ is well-ordered. As for w, let S be a nonempty subset of $\operatorname{supp}(w)$ and let α be the smallest integer such that $f_1^{\alpha} f_2^{\alpha_2} \ldots f_r^{\alpha_r} \in S$ for some choice of $\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r \in \mathbf{Z}$. Such an α exists because, by definition, if $f_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots f_r^{\alpha_r} \in \operatorname{supp}(w)$, then, necessarily, $\alpha_1 \geq 0$. Let T be the set formed by all the elements of S which are of the form $f_1^{\alpha} f_2^{\alpha_2} \ldots f_r^{\alpha_r}$, for some $\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r \in \mathbf{Z}$. We have seen that $T \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore, by the definition of w, we have $T \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(u_{n_\alpha})$ and, therefore, T has a smallest element which is easily seen to be the smallest element of S. It follows that $\operatorname{supp}(w)$ is well-ordered and, therefore, $u = v + w \in K((G,\sigma))$.

Finally, we prove that (u_{n_k}) converges to u. Given $\epsilon > 0$ let $t \in \mathbf{Z}$ be such that $c^t < \epsilon$. For every $k \geq t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{n_k} - u &= \sum_{\substack{I=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r)\\ \alpha_1<0}} (a_I^{(n_k)} - a_I^{(n_0)}) f_1^{\alpha_1} f_2^{\alpha_2} \ldots f_r^{\alpha_r} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{I=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r)\\ 0\leq\alpha_1\leq k}} (a_I^{(n_k)} - a_I^{(n_{\alpha_1})}) f_1^{\alpha_1} f_2^{\alpha_2} \ldots f_r^{\alpha_r} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{I=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r)\\ \alpha_1\geq k+1}} (a_I^{(n_k)} - a_I^{(n_{\alpha_1})}) f_1^{\alpha_1} f_2^{\alpha_2} \ldots f_r^{\alpha_r} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{I=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_r)\\ \alpha_1\geq k+1}} (a_I^{(n_k)} - a_I^{(n_{\alpha_1})}) f_1^{\alpha_1} f_2^{\alpha_2} \ldots f_r^{\alpha_r},
\end{aligned}$$

by (3) and (4). Thus, $\hat{\nu}(u_{n_k} - u) \geq k + 1 > k$ and hence $\hat{d}(u_{n_k}, u) = c^{\hat{\nu}(u_{n_k} - u)} < c^k < \epsilon$. Therefore, the subsequence (u_{n_k}) of (u_n) converges to u. Hence (u_n) is convergent. It follows that $K((G, \sigma))$ is complete. $\square$

In order to prove the existence of a free field in $K((G, \sigma))$ using Theorem 1.2 we need to establish the infinite dimensionality of $Q(K(G, \sigma))$ over its centre. We state a result that holds in a larger context.

Lemma 3.4. *Let H be a nonabelian ordered group, let K be a skew field and let $\tau\colon H \to \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ be a group homomorphism. Then any field of fractions of the skew group ring $K(H, \tau)$ is infinite-dimensional over its centre.*

Proof. Let F be a field of fractions of $K(H, \tau)$ and denote by π the prime subfield of K. If F were finite-dimensional over its centre, then F and, therefore, the group algebra πH would be PI-rings. By [1, Lemma 10], this would imply that G is an abelian group. $\square$

Theorem 3.5. *Let K be a skew field, let G be a nonabelian finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent group and let $\sigma\colon G \to \operatorname{Aut}(K)$ be a group homomorphism. If the centre Z of the classical field of fractions of the skew group ring $K(G, \sigma)$ is infinite then the skew field of Malcev-Neumman series $K((G, \sigma))$ contains a free field $Z(\langle X \rangle)$ on a countable set X.*

Proof. Let Q denote the classical field of fractions of $K(G, \sigma)$. Since by Lemma 3.4 Q is infinite-dimensional over its centre Z, it follows from Theorem 1.2 that the completion $\widehat{Q}$ of Q with respect to the topology defined by the discrete valuation extending the valuation o of $K(G, \sigma)$ defined in Lemma 3.1 contains a free field $Z(\langle X \rangle)$ on a countable set X. As a consequence of Lemma 3.2, the natural monomorphism $Q \hookrightarrow K((G, \sigma))$ is an isometric embedding. Since, by Lemma 3.3, $K((G, \sigma))$ is a complete metric space, the closure of Q in $K((G, \sigma))$ coincides with $\widehat{Q}$. It thus follows that $K((G, \sigma))$ contains $Z(\langle X \rangle)$. $\square$

If σ is trivial, then the centre Z of Q is necessarily infinite, because, using the notation in the first paragraph of this section, the infinite cyclic group F_r is a subgroup of the centre $\mathcal{Z}(G)$ of G. Therefore, $F_r \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(G) \subseteq Z(KG) \subseteq Z$. This proves the next result.

Corollary 3.6. *Let K be a skew field and let G be a nonabelian finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent group. Then the skew field of Malcev-Neumman series $K((G))$ contains a free field $Z(\langle X \rangle)$ over the centre Z of the classical field of fractions of the group ring KG on a countable set X.* $\square$

4. Skew Laurent series

In this section we show that a large class of division rings, namely fields of fractions of skew polynomial rings over skew fields, are endowed with natural valuations whose completions contain free fields. In particular, it will be shown that the Weyl field can be embedded in a complete skew field containing a free field. Similar methods will be applied to deal with fields generated by quantum matrices.

Let A be a ring, let σ be an endomorphism of A and let δ be a (right) σ-derivation of A, that is, $\delta\colon A \to A$ is an additive map satisfying

$$\delta(ab) = \delta(a)\sigma(b) + a\delta(b),$$

for all $a, b \in A$. The *skew polynomial ring in x over A*, hereafter denoted by $A[x;\sigma,\delta]$, is the free right A-module on the nonnegative powers of x with multiplication induced by

$$ax = x\sigma(a) + \delta(a),$$

for all $a \in A$. If A is a skew field then $A[x;\sigma,\delta]$ is a principal right ideal domain and its field of fractions will be denoted by $A(x;\sigma,\delta)$. When A is a right Ore domain with field of fractions $Q(A)$ and σ is injective, σ and δ can be extended to $Q(A)$ and we can consider the skew polynomial ring $Q(A)[x;\sigma,\delta]$. In this case we have

$$A[x;\sigma,\delta] \subseteq Q(A)[x;\sigma,\delta] \subseteq Q(A)(x;\sigma,\delta)$$

and so $A[x;\sigma,\delta]$ is a right Ore domain with field of fractions $Q(A)(x;\sigma,\delta)$.

For an arbitrary endomorphism σ of the skew field K a further construction will be considered. The ring of *skew power series* $K[[x;\sigma]]$ is defined to be the set of power series on x of the form $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^i a_i$, with $a_i \in K$, where addition is done coefficientwise and multiplication is given by the rule $ax = x\sigma(a)$, for $a \in K$. When σ is an automorphism, we can embed $K[[x;\sigma]]$ into the ring of *skew Laurent series* $K((x;\sigma))$ whose elements are series $\sum_{-r}^{\infty} x^i a_i$, with r a nonnegative integer. In $K((x;\sigma))$, multiplication satisfies $ax^n = x^n\sigma^n(a)$, for every integer n and $a \in K$. It is well known that $K((x;\sigma))$ is a skew field containing $K(x;\sigma)$.

As mentioned in [5, Section 2.3], when $\delta \neq 0$ we must look at a more general construction. Given an automorphism σ of a skew field K and a σ-derivation δ on K, denote by R the ring of all power series $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i y^i$ on y with multiplication induced by $ya = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sigma\delta^i(a) y^{i+1}$, for $a \in K$. In [5, Theorem 2.3.1] it is shown that R is a domain and $S = \{1, y, y^2, \dots\}$ is a left Ore set in R whose localization $S^{-1}R$ is a skew field, consisting of all skew Laurent series $\sum_{i=r}^{\infty} a_i y^i$, with $r \in \mathbb{Z}$. Because in $S^{-1}R$ we have $ya = \sigma(a)y + y\delta(a)y$, for all $a \in K$, it follows that $ay^{-1} = y^{-1}\sigma(a) + \delta(a)$. Hence, the subring generated by y^{-1} in $S^{-1}R$ is an ordinary skew polynomial ring on y^{-1}. Because of that we shall adopt the notation $R = K[[x^{-1};\sigma,\delta]]$ and $S^{-1}R = K((x^{-1};\sigma,\delta))$. So we have an embedding $K[x;\sigma,\delta] \subseteq K((x^{-1};\sigma,\delta))$, sending x to y^{-1} and, hence, $K(x;\sigma,\delta) \subseteq K((x^{-1};\sigma,\delta))$. It is also clear that $K((x^{-1};\sigma,\delta)) = K[[x^{-1};\sigma,\delta]] + K[x;\sigma,\delta]$.

We now define a valuation function on $K((x^{-1};\sigma,\delta))$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let K be a skew field with an automorphism σ and a σ-derivation δ. Then the map $\omega\colon K((x^{-1};\sigma,\delta) \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ defined by*

$$\omega(f) = \sup\{n : f \in K[[x^{-1};\sigma,\delta]]y^n\},$$

is a valuation on $K((x^{-1};\sigma,\delta))$.

Proof. The only nonobvious fact to be proved is that if f and g are nonzero elements of $K((x^{-1};\sigma,\delta))$, then $\omega(fg) = \omega(f) + \omega(g)$. This follows from the fact that, since σ is invertible, it is possible to write, for every integer n and $a \in K$, $y^n a = \sigma^n(a)y^n + hy^{n+1}$, for some $h \in K[[x^{-1};\sigma,\delta]]$. $\square$

The field $K(x;\sigma,\delta)$ has a valuation "at infinity" ν which extends the valuation $-\deg$ on $K[x;\sigma,\delta]$, where deg denotes the usual degree function on $K[x;\sigma,\delta]$, that is, $\deg(\sum x^i a_i) = \max\{i : a_i \neq 0\}$. Clearly, $\omega|_{K(x;\sigma,\delta)} = \nu$. The following lemma shows that $K((x^{-1};\sigma,\delta))$ is the completion of $K(x;\sigma,\delta)$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let K be a skew field with an automorphism σ and a σ-derivation δ. With respect to the valuation ν defined above, $K((x^{-1};\sigma,\delta))$ is the completion of $K(x;\sigma,\delta)$.*

Proof. That $K(x;\sigma,\delta)$ is dense in $K((x^{-1};\sigma,\delta))$ follows from the fact that every Laurent series $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_i y^i$ in $K((x^{-1};\sigma,\delta))$ is the limit of its partial sums, which are elements in $K(x;\sigma,\delta)$. It remains to prove that $K((x^{-1};\sigma,\delta))$ is complete. Let (u_n) be a Cauchy sequence in $K((x^{-1};\sigma,\delta))$, say

$$u_n = \sum_{i\geq m_n} a_i^{(n)} y^i,$$

with $a_i^{(n)} \in K$ and $m_n \in \mathbf{Z}$. We proceed as in the proof of Lemma 3.3. For each $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ choose nonnegative integers $n_k \in \mathbf{N}$ satisfying $n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ such that $\omega(u_p - u_q) > k$, for all $p, q \geq n_k$. Consider the following element of $K((x^{-1};\sigma,\delta))$,

$$u = \sum_{i<0} a_i^{(n_0)} y^i + \sum_{i\geq 0} a_i^{(n_i)} y^i.$$

We shall prove that (u_n) converges to u. Indeed, for all k, $\omega(u_{n_k} - u) \geq k+1$ and, hence, the subsequence (u_{n_k}) of (u_n) converges to u. Since (u_n) is a Cauchy sequence, (u_n) converges to u. It follows that $K((x^{-1};\sigma,\delta))$ is complete. □

In the case that $\delta = 0$, $K(x;\sigma)$ has an x-adic valuation η, defined by $\eta(fg^{-1}) = o(f) - o(g)$, for all $f, g \in K[x;\sigma]$, $g \neq 0$, where $o(\sum x^i a_i) = \min\{i : a_i \neq 0\}$ is the order function on $K[x;\sigma]$, which is a valuation. Denote by ζ the integer valued function on $K((x;\sigma))$ defined by $\zeta(h) = \sup\{n : h \in x^n K[[x;\sigma]]\}$, for all $h \in K((x;\sigma))$, $h \neq 0$. It is easy to see that ζ is a valuation on $K((x;\sigma))$ which coincides with η on $K(x;\sigma)$. With a proof similar to the one of Lemma 4.2 it can be shown that $K((x;\sigma))$ is the completion of $K(x;\sigma)$ with respect to η.

Our next aim is to guarantee the existence of free fields in completions of skew rational function fields. For that, we recall the following definition. An automorphism σ in a ring is said to have *inner order* r if σ^r is the least positive power of σ which is inner. If σ^r it is not inner for any $r > 0$, then σ is said to have infinite inner order. We shall need the following result.

Lemma 4.3 ([5, Theorem 2.2.10])**.** *Let K be a skew field with centre C, let σ be an automorphism of D and let δ be a σ-derivation. If $K[x;\sigma,\delta]$ is simple or σ has infinite inner order, then $Z(K(x;\sigma,\delta)) = \{c \in C : \sigma(c) = c$ and $\delta(c) = 0\}$.* □

We have the following result.

Theorem 4.4. *Let K be a skew field with centre C, let σ be an automorphism of K and let δ be a σ-derivation of K. Suppose that $K[x;\sigma,\delta]$ is simple or that σ has infinite inner order. If $\delta \neq 0$, let $R = K((x^{-1};\sigma,\delta))$, otherwise, let $R = K((x;\sigma))$. If the field $C_0 = \{c \in C : \sigma(c) = c$ and $\delta(c) = 0\}$ is infinite, then R contains a free field $C_0(\langle X\rangle)$ on a countable set X.*

Proof. Since, by Lemma 4.3, $Z(K(x;\sigma,\delta)) = C_0$ and $\{x^i : i \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ is linearly independent over C_0, because it is over K, it follows that $K(x;\sigma,\delta)$ is infinite dimensional over its infinite centre C_0. By Theorem 1.2, R contains a free field on a countable set over C_0, for, as we have seen above, it is the completion of $K(x;\sigma,\delta)$ with respect to appropriate discrete valuations. □

We remark that the case $\delta = 0$ in the above theorem had already been considered in [1, Theorem 4] for a commutative field K of coefficients.

An example of a skew polynomial ring with a nonzero derivation is the first Weyl algebra over a skew field K,

$$A_1(K) = K\langle x_1, x_2 : x_1x_2 - x_2x_1 = 1\rangle = K[x_1]\left[x_2; I, \frac{d}{dx_1}\right],$$

where I above stands for the identity automorphism of $K[x_1]$. It is well known that $A_1(K)$ is an Ore domain with field of fractions $Q_1(A) = K(x_1)(x_2; I, \frac{d}{dx_1})$, called the Weyl field.

Corollary 4.5. *Let K be a skew field with centre C. If $\operatorname{char} K = 0$ then the field of skew Laurent series $K(x_1)((x_2^{-1}; I, \frac{d}{dx_1}))$ contains a free field $C(\langle X\rangle)$, where X is a countable set.*

Proof. Let $B = K(x_1)[x_2; I, \frac{d}{dx}]$. Then $A_1(K) \subseteq B \subseteq K(x_1)(x_2; I, \frac{d}{dx_1})$ and $Q(B) = Q_1(A) = K(x_1)(x_2; I, \frac{d}{dx_1})$. Clearly, $\frac{d}{dx_1}$ is not an inner derivation. It follows from [8, Corollary 3.16] that B is a simple ring. Since $\operatorname{char} K = 0$, we have $Z(K(x_1))_0 = \{f \in Z(K(x_1)) : \frac{d}{dx_1}(f) = 0\} = C(x_1)_0 = C$, an infinite field. By Theorem 4.4, the field of skew Laurent series $K(x_1)((x_2^{-1}; I, \frac{d}{dx_1}))$ contains a free field on a countable set over C. $\square$

Our next example is a division ring generated by quantum matrices. Let k be a commutative field and let $q \in k$ be a nonzero element. The algebra $M_q(2)$ of quantum 2×2 matrices over k is defined to be the k-algebra with four generators a, b, c, d subjected to the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} &ab = qba, && ac = qca, && bc = cb,\\ &bd = qdb, && cd = qdc, && ad - da = (q - q^{-1})cb. \end{aligned}$$

It can also be regarded as an iterated skew polynomial ring $k[a][b; \sigma_b][c; \sigma_c][d; \sigma_d, \delta_d]$, where σ_b, σ_c and σ_d are the k-automorphisms satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_b(a) = qa,\\ &\sigma_c(b) = b, \quad \sigma_c(a) = qa,\\ &\sigma_d(c) = qc, \quad \sigma_d(b) = qb, \quad \sigma_d(a) = a, \end{aligned}$$

and δ_d is the σ_d-derivation such that

$$\delta_d(a) = (q - q^{-1})cb, \quad \delta_d(b) = 0, \quad \delta_d(c) = 0.$$

(See, for instance, [7].) It follows that $M_q(2)$ is a noetherian domain with field of fractions $Q_q(2)$. For simplicity we shall write $R_a = k[a]$, $R_b = R_a[b; \sigma_b]$, $R_c = R_b[c; \sigma_c]$. The fact that the field of fractions $Q_q(2)$ of $M_q(2) = R_c[d; \sigma_d, \delta_d]$ contains a free algebra follows from the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6 ([12, Theorem])**.** *Let $K = k(t)$ be the field of rational functions over a commutative field k and let σ a k-automorphism of K of infinite order. Then $K(x; \sigma)$ contains a noncommutative free k-subalgebra.* $\square$

Corollary 4.7. *Let k be a commutative field and let $q \in k^\times$. If q is not a root of 1, then the field of fractions of the k-algebra of 2×2 quantum matrices contains a noncommutative free algebra over k and can be embedded in a division ring containing a noncommutative free field.*

Proof. We adhere to the notation above and let $Q_q(2)$ denote the field of fractions of $M_q(2)$. Since q is not a root of 1, the extension of σ_b to $k(a)$ is an automorphism of infinite order. By Theorem 4.6, $Q(R_b) = k(a)(b; \sigma_b)$ contains a noncommutative free k-subalgebra. Since $Q(R_b)$ is a subfield of $Q_q(2)$, it follows that $Q_q(2)$ contains a noncommutative free k-subalgebra and has infinite dimension over its centre Z, which is infinite because q is not a root of 1. The completion of $Q_q(2)$ with respect to the valuation sending d to -1 is the field of skew Laurent series $Q(R_c)((d^{-1}; \sigma_d, \delta_d))$, which, by Theorem 1.2, contains a free field $Z(\langle X\rangle)$ on a countable set X. □

An application of Theorem 4.4 with a nonidentity automorphism is the following. Let k be a commutative field and let λ be a nonzero element in k. Let B_λ be the k-algebra generated by two elements x, y, together with their inverses x^{-1}, y^{-1}, subjected to the relation $xy = \lambda yx$. This algebra has been considered by Lorenz in [11]. It can be viewed as a factor $B_\lambda = kG/\langle (a, b) - \lambda\rangle$ of the group algebra kG, where $G = \langle a,\ b : (a, (a, b)) = (b, (a, b)) = 1\rangle$ is the free nilpotent group of class 2 on 2 generators, or as the localization of an Ore extension, $B_\lambda = k[x, x^{-1}][y, y^{-1}; \sigma]$, where σ is the k-automorphism of $k[x]$ given by $\sigma(x) = \lambda x$. It follows from this last characterization that $k[x][y; \sigma] \subseteq B_\lambda \subseteq k(x)(y; \sigma)$ and hence B_λ is an Ore domain with field of fractions $Q_\lambda = k(x)(y; \sigma)$. If λ is not a root of unity then σ has infinite order and, by Lemma 4.3, $Z(Q_\lambda) = \{r \in k(x) : \sigma(r) = r\} = k$, which is an infinite field. Moreover, in this case, Q_λ is known to contain a noncommutative free k-algebra. It follows that it is infinite dimensional over its centre. The order function o on $k[x][y; \sigma]$ such that $o(y) = 1$ is a valuation. It extends to a valuation on B_λ and, consequently, to one on Q_λ. So, Theorem 4.4 implies that with respect to this valuation the completion $\widehat{Q_\lambda} = k(x)((y; \sigma))$ contains a free field $k(\langle X\rangle)$, where X is a countable set. This example should be compared with the case considered in the Section 3, where it was proved that the classic field of fractions of kG, for that same group G, has a valuation with a completion containing a free field.

5. A CLASS OF RINGS WITH t-ADIC VALUATION

Let R be a ring with a regular central element t such that $\bigcap t^n R = 0$ and R/tR is right Ore. In [9], Lichtman proved that R can be embedded in a division ring D. We will show that in some cases such a division ring D contains a free field. As a consequence we show that a free field can be embedded in the completion of a field of fractions of the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra in characteristic zero.

We start by stating the main result of [9].

Theorem 5.1 ([9, Theorem 1]). *Let R be a ring and let t be a regular central element of R. Assume that $\bigcap t^n R = 0$ and that R/tR is a right Ore domain. Then R can be embedded in a division ring D, the t-adic valuation ν_t of R is extended to a valuation ν of D and the subset $R(R^*)^{-1}$ is dense in D. Moreover, if S is the valuation ring of ν, then S/tS is isomorphic to Δ, the field of fractions of R/tR.* □

In its proof it is shown that t is a central element of D, that S is a local ring with maximal ideal tS and that tS defines a t-adic valuation in S which extends ν_t and coincides with the restriction of ν to S. Moreover, D is defined as the localization ST^{-1}, where $T = \{1, t, t^2, \dots\}$, and it is shown to have the property that all of its elements have a unique representation of the form

$$\sum_{i=r}^{\infty} d_i t^i,$$

where the r is an integer and the d_i belong to a system of coset representatives of S/tS in S. It follows that D is complete with respect to the valuation ν.

Theorem 5.2. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 5.1, if Δ is infinite-dimensional over $Z(\Delta)$, then D contains a free field $Z(D)(\langle X\rangle)$, where X is a countable set.*

Proof. We shall prove that under the given hypothesis D is infinite-dimensional over $Z(D)$. Suppose that $[D : Z(D)] = n < \infty$. It will be shown that this implies that $[\Delta : Z(\Delta)]$ is also finite and $[\Delta : Z(\Delta)] \leq n$. Let $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n+1}$ be a sequence of $n+1$ elements in $\Delta = S/tS$ and choose $s_1, \dots, s_{n+1} \in S$ such that $\delta_i = \overline{s_i} = s_i + tS$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n+1$. Since $[D : Z(D)] = n$, the elements $s_1, \dots, s_{n+1}$ are linearly dependent over $Z(D)$. We can suppose, then, that there exist nonzero elements $z_1, \dots, z_m \in Z(D)$ such that $z_1 s_1 + \cdots + z_m s_m = 0$, for some $m \leq n+1$. For each $j = 1, \dots, m$, let $r_j = \nu(z_j)$. Then $\nu(z_j t^{-r_j}) = \nu(z_j) - r_j \nu(t) = \nu(z_j) - r_j = 0$. So, letting $u_j = z_j t^{-r_j}$, we get $z_j = u_j t^{r_j}$, for $j = 1, \dots, m$, with $u_j \in S \setminus tS$. Our dependence relation now reads

$$u_1 s_1 t^{r_1} + \cdots + u_m s_m t^{r_m} = 0. \tag{6}$$

Let $r = \min\{r_j : j = 1, \dots, m\}$ and suppose, without lost of generality, that $r = r_1$. Then $r_j - r \geq 0$ and hence $t^{r_j - r} \in R \subseteq S$, for all $1 \leq j \leq m$. Multiplying (6) by t^{-r} yields the following relation in S, $u_1 s_1 + u_2 s_2 t^{r_2 - r} + \cdots + u_m s_m t^{r_m - r} = 0$. Thus, in the quotient $\Delta = S/tS$, we have

$$\overline{u_1}\delta_1 + \overline{u_2 t^{r_2 - r}}\delta_2 + \cdots + \overline{u_m t^{r_m - r}}\delta_m = 0.$$

Now since the z_j and t are central, $u_j \in S \cap Z(D) \subseteq Z(S)$. As we have seen above $u_1 \in S \setminus tS$. So we have a nontrivial dependence relation among the δ_j with coefficients in $Z(\Delta)$. It follows that $[\Delta : Z(\Delta)] \leq n$. We conclude that D is infinite-dimensional over $Z(D)$. Moreover, since t is not invertible in R, the set T of powers of t is infinite and, therefore, the centre $Z(D)$ of D is infinite. Finally, since ν is a discrete valuation and D is complete with respect to it, D contains a free field $Z(D)(\langle X\rangle)$, where X is a countable set, by Theorem 1.2. □

An important special case of Theorem 5.1 is provided by universal enveloping algebras of Lie algebras. We follow [9, Section 2.2]: let L be a Lie algebra over a commutative field k and let $U(L)$ be its universal enveloping algebra. It is known that $U(L)$ has a filtration

$$0 \subseteq U_0 \subseteq U_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq U_i \subseteq \dots,$$

where $U_0 = k$, $U_i = k + L + L^2 + \cdots + L^i$ and L^i is the subspace generated by all products of i elements of L. By [5, Proposition 2.6.1], this filtration defines a valuation ν on $U(L)$, called the *canonical valuation.* [9, Theorem 2] then asserts that $U(L)$ can be embedded in a skew field D, which is complete in the topology defined by the canonical valuation. (This result had been originally obtained by Cohn in [2] with a different proof.) Let $D(L)$ be the subfield of D generated by $U(L)$. Since D is complete, the closure $\overline{D(L)}$ of the subspace $D(L)$ of the metric space D is complete, and hence, $\overline{D(L)}$ is the completion of $D(L)$.

Lichtman also proved in [10] that, if $\operatorname{char} k = 0$, then any skew field that contains $U(L)$ contains a free k-algebra of rank 2 and, therefore, must have infinite dimension over its centre. So we have the following consequence of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 5.3. *Let L be a nonabelian Lie algebra over a commutative field of characteristic zero and let $U(L)$ be its universal enveloping algebra. In the notation above, both D and $\overline{D(L)}$ contain a free field $Z(D(L))(\langle X\rangle)$ on a countable set X.* □

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are indebted to A. Lichtman who communicated to them the contents of Section 2 and kindly permitted them to be included in the paper.

References

[1] K. Chiba, Free fields in complete skew fields and their valuations, *J. Algebra* **263** (2003), 75–87.
[2] P. M. Cohn, On the embedding of rings in skew fields, *Proc. London. Math. Soc.* **11** (1961), 511–530.
[3] ———, *Skew Field Constructions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1977.
[4] ———, *Free Rings and Their Relations*, 2nd. Ed., Academic Press, London, 1985.
[5] ———, *Skew Fields. Theory of General Division Rings*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
[6] S. A. Jennings, The group ring of a class of infinite nilpotent groups, *Can. J. Math.***7** (1955), 169–187.
[7] C. Kassel, *Quantum Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
[8] T. Y. Lam, *A First Course in Noncommutative Rings*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
[9] A. I. Lichtman, Valuation methods in division rings, *J. Algebra* **177** (1995), 870–898.
[10] ———, Free subalgebras in division rings generated by universal enveloping algebras, *Algebra Colloq.* **6** (1999), 145–153.
[11] M. Lorenz, Group rings and division rings, *Methods in Ring Theory (Antwerp, 1983)*, 265–280, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., 129, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1984.
[12] ———, On free subalgebras of certain division algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **98** (1986), 401–405.
[13] L. Makar-Limanov, The skew field of fractions of the Weyl algebra contains a free noncommutative subalgebra, *Comm. Alg.* **11** (1983), 2003–2006.
[14] ———, On group rings of nilpotent groups, *Israel J. Math.* **48** (1984), 244-248.
[15] D. S. Passmann, *Infinite Crossed Products*, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1989.

Department of Mathematics - IME, University of São Paulo, Caixa Postal 66281, São Paulo, SP, 05314-970, Brazil
E-mail address: `vofer@ime.usp.br`

Department of Mathematics, State University of Maringá, Avenida Colombo, 5790, Maringá, PR, 87020-900, Brazil
E-mail address: `ezancanella@uem.br`